ABSTRACT

Many joint and connective tissue pains defy clear and precise diagnosis. Often patients with various diagnoses for joint, back and neck pain are not cured by traditional treatment regimes appropriate for their “diagnosis.” Based on observations gleaned from treatment responses to Prolotherapy, the author describes and characterizes the Connective Tissue Damage Syndrome. When properly understood, the CTDS explains not only many body pains, undiagnosed conditions, and treatment failures, but also many muscular malfunctions (spasms, weakness, trigger points, etc.), and referred symptoms such as pain, numbness, tingling, and headaches. The results of Prolotherapy treatment in patients with these disorders suggest that pathological change in ligaments (CTDS) is the underlying cause of these disorders. Prolotherapy is the most rational and effective treatment for both the underlying cause (ligament damage), and secondary degenerative effects.

The body is capable of healing damaged connective tissue structures, but certain hormone deficiencies and medical treatments such as anti-inflammatories prevent this. Once connective tissue damage syndrome is correctly diagnosed, then treatment is rightly focused on initiating and optimizing connective tissue healing. Since incomplete connective tissue healing can be principally due to either a trauma mechanism, or due to impairment of the body’s connective tissue healing system, the integrity of the healing system must be evaluated, and factors that impair connective tissue healing must be identified and addressed. These factors explain why many people with CTDS see their disease worsen over time, while under medical care. Patients who present with significant impairment of the connective tissue healing system are described, varying from “multisite connective tissue pain without trauma history” to full-blown fibromyalgia. Principles for successful treatment for the CTDS are described.
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Prolotherapy is certainly an important clinical tool to treat damaged connective tissue—ligaments, tendons, cartilage, meniscus, labrum, fascia, etc. But perhaps a greater contribution made by Prolotherapy is that it sheds light on an important medical mystery. That is, when someone has pain in a joint, or in the neck, or back, or when someone has symptoms going down an arm or leg, or various other distressing symptoms, what disease process is actually causing their symptoms? I see patients on a daily basis who have had the origin of their symptoms misdiagnosed. I hear patients on a daily basis give accounts of lengthy odysseys through the health care system, often involving multiple attempted treatments, including operations, who are not better, and perhaps worse, after all the medical attention they have received. Or I see patients with significant symptoms who have been told that “nothing” is wrong—because all their tests are “negative.” One can read the medical literature and see many purported mechanisms for back, neck, and joint pain. Then read the results of patient treatment based on these proposed mechanisms, and see failure rates that are remarkably high. One can also see in the literature a large group of patients who, at the outset, do not fit into any known “diagnostic category.” Practitioners cannot be exposed to diagnosing and treating patients with musculoskeletal pain for long before a question becomes glaringly obvious. “Are we missing something here—is there a disease process that is right under our noses every day that is poorly understood, or totally misunderstood, by the medical community at large?”

I believe that the answer is “Yes.” Thanks to observations gleaned from successfully treating thousands of painful joints with Prolotherapy, I think I have developed a fairly clear understanding of this disease process. Many of these observations have been made by others in the Prolotherapy community for decades. What has been lacking thus far is assembling these observations into a description of a
disease process. That process can then be named and understood by the medical community, and the general community, in a way which explains the mystery of many misdiagnosed and undiagnosed body pains. To that end, here is an introduction to the Connective Tissue Damage Syndrome.

To restate the problem, you have neck pain with some numbness and tingling in your thumb and first two fingers, or you have lower back pain with some aching pain down the lateral thigh and lateral calf and recurring back muscle spasms, or you are limping with hip pain. You go to the doctor and get an X-ray, and the film is completely normal or shows significant cartilage loss. Then, you walk into a room filled with practitioners—Orthopedic surgeons, Neurosurgeons, Neurologists, Rheumatologists, Physical Medicine/Rehabilitation doctors, Chiropractors, Physical Therapists, Massage Therapists, Family Doctors, Pain Clinic physicians, Acupuncturists, etc. You go around the room and ask a simple question. “What structure are these symptoms actually coming from?” You will get about forty different answers but the correct answer will be only one of these, or none of these. It is obvious that this is the most important question that can be answered if the patient is to be successfully treated.

I would tell each of these people previously mentioned that every symptom they described is consistent with the Connective Tissue Damage Syndrome (CTDS) affecting various ligaments and tendons. And I would probably be correct. These scenarios represent real people who have been evaluated and treated successfully by this author. In order to understand this syndrome, which is not recognized or understood by most practitioners in this country, let us first touch on some medical history. Then we will look at the mechanism by which pain and other symptoms might arise from connective tissue damage, then catalog the symptoms and findings produced by this disease process. We will consider how these patients might be best evaluated and treated. Lastly, we will consider the origins of the confusion regarding this diagnosis.

Until the 1950s, ligaments were believed to be a significant source of somatic pain—back pain, neck pain, and joint pain in general. The belief that ligaments are a significant source of joint pain was abandoned abruptly in the 1950s. From that time until the current day, vast improvements in imaging clarity have allowed us to visualize an increasing number of “abnormalities” to which patients’ symptoms are now ascribed. The current assumption is that any significant pathology will be seen on an MRI or CT. In fact, it seems that the vast majority of “diagnoses” in joint pain are based almost solely on imaging studies. Most back and neck pain is attributed to disc disease, or to pinched nerves, based on these imaging studies. Hip pain is attributed to cartilage loss. In the absence of cartilage loss, a nerve pinch at the level of the spine is often “diagnosed.” Shoulder pain is usually ascribed to damage to rotator cuff tendons seen on MRI, or to bone spurs. Knee pain is usually attributed to “loss of cartilage.”

Also in the 1950s, injectable cortisone became available. It was found that injecting this medication caused improvement in many joint pains, leading to the theory of an inflammatory cause for these complaints, and ushering in the era of anti-inflammation. Current “conservative therapy” for joint, neck, and back pain is over-the-counter non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), followed by prescription strength NSAIDs, followed by corticosteroid injection. For any joint pain, regardless of X-ray findings, corticosteroid injections
are often prescribed based on the idea that joint pain is commonly due to “inflammation.” If “nothing” is seen on imaging studies to “account for” pain, then the pain is assumed to be coming from local inflammation (bursitis or arthritis) or from an inflamed nerve.

However we have arrived at this point, patients who arrive in my office with neck, back, or other joint pain virtually never say, “My ligaments are hurting.” What they usually say is that they have pain due to something seen on X-rays, or if “nothing” was seen on X-ray, they have been told that their pain is due to some form of inflammation or nerve pinch. But here is the problem. People have been treated appropriately for these “diagnoses” and they are not better. Often they are worse, after months or years of treatment. I see many, many patients with this story. This leads me to the inescapable conclusion that these people have been misinformed about the origin of their pain. If that is so, then where is their pain arising from?

**THE MECHANISM FOR PAIN IN THE CONNECTIVE TISSUE DAMAGE SYNDROME**

By what mechanism could ligaments, tendons, or other connective tissue cause pain? Ligaments are cables between two bones, allowing the bones to move relative to each other, with motion limited by the ligament. Tendons are cables between a bone and a muscle that allow the muscle to move the bone. These structures are virtually indistinguishable under a microscope and are made almost entirely of collagen. Ligaments and tendons are heavily innervated.

Envision a steel cable made of many small wires, rated to hold 10,000 pounds. Break half the wires, then put 10,000 pounds of weight on the cable. Can you envision this cable stretching under the weight? In a similar way, collagen molecules confer strength like the steel wires of a cable. If you break a certain number of collagen molecules and do not replace them, can you envision these structures also beginning to stretch abnormally? (See Figure 1.)

One problem with this new “stretchiness” is the nerve supply in the ligaments and tendons. These nerves do not stretch well, so one can also envision small fiber nerve damage beginning to occur in this new stretchable matrix. Of particular interest are C-fibers. These are among the smallest nerve fibers and they principally carry pain sensation. These fibers would be particularly susceptible to such stretching and shearing forces. Therefore, bearing weight on one of these abnormally stretchable structures would be expected to initiate a small neural firestorm of impulses. As use continues, nerve damage continues and accumulates. I refer to this status in a ligament, tendon, or sheet-like connective tissue (e.g. fascia) as “non-load bearing.”

Let us now apply this model for pain production to a common malady—tennis elbow. This condition is characterized by pain around the lateral epicondyle of the elbow when the extensor muscles of the forearm are used. Also characterized by pain when pressure is applied to the area (hurts when you use it, hurts when you press on it). This condition often gets better with anti-inflammatory medication and was deemed to be an inflammatory condition for many years. Hence, it was called “tendonitis” (or “tendon inflammation.”) This belief continued until a couple of decades ago, when biopsy studies showed conclusively that no inflammation was present in chronic cases of “tendonitis.” Instead, there was architectural disruption of the collagen fibers. In other words, there was unhealed damage (a non-load bearing connective tissue structure) that was producing pain. Based on this study, this disease was actually renamed. “Tendonitis” became “tendinosis.” Tendinosis is the term for degenerated tendon. Obviously signifying that regeneration is what is needed for the condition.

Ligaments and tendons are very similar in structure and function. Is it reasonable to assume that a ligament might be subject to the same kind of painful damage that in a tendon is called “tendinosis?” Of course this is reasonable. What is the name of this condition in a ligament? I often
ask my patients this question and it is amusing to watch them struggle with it. Eventually everyone stops trying to recall an answer. I then tell them that they are correct. This disease entity does not have a name, yet I treat it every day. Perhaps it would suffice to say the person simply has degenerated ligaments or ligament damage?

The fact that anti-inflammatory medications “work” in reducing pain in these conditions is important and will be discussed later. Also worth emphasizing at this point is the fact that the medical community thought that this manifestation of the Connective Tissue Damage Syndrome was an inflammation but it is not. This mistaken assumption shows up time and time again in other body tissues (e.g. a “bursitis” diagnosis when there is CTDS in the ligaments of the hip or shoulder).

SYMPTOMS OF THE CONNECTIVE TISSUE DAMAGE SYNDROME

What symptoms are possible due to small-fiber nerve damage in connective tissue structures? The following list encompasses many common symptoms.

1. Pain with use of structure
2. Pain randomly or continuously with progression of damage
3. Tenderness to palpitation
4. Reflex muscle function aberration—tension, spasm, weakness, trigger points
5. Referred pain, aching, numbness and tingling, burning, “pins and needles”
6. Referred autonomic nervous system malfunction—Barré-Lieou Syndrome from cervical CTDS, and more rarely, lower extremity autonomic findings
7. Barosensitive (weather changes) and stress-sensitive symptoms

Upon what evidence do I base the assertion that small fiber nerve damage in ligaments and tendons produces the above clinical manifestations? The entire discussion is beyond the scope of this publication, but in brief, Prolotherapy only modifies one variable, for the most part, which is the amount of collagen in structures. Also, in administering Prolotherapy, I inject a proliferant solution which contains lidocaine. Therefore, I sequentially subtract out symptoms from connective tissue structures during a treatment. Suppose one of the patients previously described, who comes to me with low back pain and aching pain down the lateral thigh and lateral calf, has been told that his back pain and referred symptoms are due to “a bulging lumbar disc and pressure on nerves in the back.” Yet on physical examination I find a group of tender lumbar ligaments, and I find significant tenderness in the upper sacroiliac ligament on the same side as his lower extremity referred symptoms. I could theorize that his pain and referred symptoms may be due to CTDS in his ligaments.

My theory at that point should be accorded no more weight than any of the myriad other possible theories for the origin of his symptoms. If, however, I treat him with Prolotherapy, subtracting symptoms from each ligament structure for the duration of the action of the lidocaine, and all of his symptoms, including his referred symptoms, resolve immediately, then the argument is considerably stronger that the correct pain-causing structures have been identified. Further, although symptoms return after the local anesthetic wears off, if those symptoms are permanently relieved after three or four Prolotherapy treatments, then one can reasonably conclude that it was a lack of collagen in these structures that lead to this patient’s symptoms in the first place. And, if my success rate for treating patients with back pain with an array of previous diagnoses, who have tender ligament and tendon structures in the back, is upwards of 85%, then it could be plausibly argued that this is the correct diagnosis in everyone who completely responds to Prolotherapy.

Certain symptoms and findings of CTDS noted above merit further discussion. First, structures with CTDS are always tender to palpation (Item 3) whether pain or other symptoms are present or not at the time of examination. This is how you locate this tissue damage. Conversely, probably 90% of the symptomatic connective tissue damage that I treat does not show up on any imaging study. Thus, will practitioners who base their diagnosis on imaging studies ever correctly identify this type of damage?

Secondly, muscle aberrations (Item 4) frequently drive people to seek manipulative therapies. If muscle function problems are recurrent or chronic, there is almost always tendon damage involved, or damage in a ligament near a tendon insertion. Once this damage is rectified, the secondary muscle manifestations completely resolve with no further treatment. This is true with decreased range of motion in the cervical spine, shoulder, back, and hip,
as well as painful knots of muscle between the shoulder blades, back spasm, “chronic hamstring pulls,” etc.

Ligament referral symptoms (Item 5) were described and mapped very exactly by Dr. George Hackett, and published in the 1950s. These maps are quite accurate and very helpful in localizing the symptom generating structure. It is not uncommon to find no complaint of pain in the symptom generating structure. However, these structures will always be tender, so confirming their presence is easy if one knows where to palpate. These referred symptoms are almost always misattributed to nerve compression or inflammation. (See Figures 2 & 3.)

The Barre-Lieou Syndrome (Item 6) consists of several possible autonomic nervous system malfunctions in the head and neck, including headache, fullness or ringing in the ears, sinus fullness or drainage, blurred vision and abnormal tearing, abnormal salivation, hoarseness, and skin changes (flushing or edema). A single patient rarely has more than a few of these findings. A variant of this syndrome is connective tissue-triggered migraines, which are characterized by feeling a point of pain in the head or neck, just prior to onset of a severe headache. All of the Barre-Lieou symptoms may be very successfully treated with Prolotherapy of the neck ligaments. Connective tissue-triggered migraines may be successfully treated by treating the specific connective tissue trigger point or points.

**HACKETT REFERRAL PATTERNS**

Pain Referral Patterns
FROM LUMBOSacral AND PELVIC JOINT LIGAMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Ligament</th>
<th>Referral Pattern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL:</td>
<td>Iliolumbar</td>
<td>Groin, Testicles, Vagina, Inner Thigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LS:</td>
<td>Lumbosacral—Supra and Interspinus</td>
<td>Buttock, Thigh, Leg (outer surface)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A, B, C, D:</td>
<td>Posterior Sacroiliac (upper two-thirds)</td>
<td>Thigh, Leg (Outer Calf)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Posterior Sacroiliac (lower outer fibers)</td>
<td>Foot (Lateral Toes)— Accompanied by Sciatica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HP:</td>
<td>Hip—Pelvic Attachment</td>
<td>Thigh—Posterior &amp; Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF:</td>
<td>Hip—Femoral Attachment</td>
<td>Thigh—Posterior &amp; Lateral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower Leg—Anterior &amp; into the Big Toe &amp; Second Toe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS:</td>
<td>Sacrospinus &amp; Sacrotuberous</td>
<td>Thigh—Posterior Lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN:</td>
<td>Sciatic Nerve</td>
<td>Leg—Posterior to the Heel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sciatic Nerve</td>
<td>Can Radiate Pain Down the Leg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Ligamentous structures of the lower back and hip that refer pain down the lower leg. The illustration shows the trigger points of pain and the needles in position for confirmation of the diagnosis and for treatment of ligament relaxation of the lumbosacral and pelvic joints. Used with permission from Prolo Your Pain Away: Curing Chronic Pain with Prolotherapy; Third Edition; Ross A. Hauser, et al. Beulah Land Press, 2007, Oak Park, IL.

Figure 3. Ligament referral pain patterns from structures in Figure 2. Used with permission from Prolo Your Pain Away: Curing Chronic Pain with Prolotherapy; Third Edition; Ross A. Hauser, et al. Beulah Land Press, 2007, Oak Park, IL.
Symptoms of CTDS tend to fluctuate (Item 7). They may be intermittent. Precipitating factors for an exacerbation of symptoms include load-bearing on the structure (Item 1), stress, including lack of sleep, and falling barometric pressure.

**Secondary Manifestations of Ligament Damage and Laxity**

As ligaments become non-load bearing, yet continue to bear weight, they will stretch and become longer. This causes the bones connected to the ligament to develop abnormal mobility. This abnormal motion has several consequences.

1. Cartilage damage
2. New bone formation around joints (bone spurs, osteophytes, etc.)
3. Meniscus and labrum damage
4. Degenerative disc disease and disc damage

The relationship between ligament damage (laxity and elongation) and these secondary manifestations is often missed. This is particularly true with arthritic joint changes and degenerative disc disease. Abnormal impact on a bony surface is a stimulus for new bone formation. Joints with “play” in them, which allows such impact, would be expected to display evidence of osteogenesis (new bone formation). If an X-ray of a joint is obtained, and loss of cartilage and osteophyte formation are noted, a diagnosis will be assigned—“arthritis” or “joint inflammation.” Most practitioners assume that the pathology and the pain of arthritis reside in the joint surface, and that the cause of this pathology is inflammation (hence the suffix “itis”). However, careful palpation around virtually any osteoarthritic joint will reveal tenderness in the ligaments.

Further, injection of these ligaments with local anesthetic will generally dramatically reduce, or eliminate, the joint pain in the moment. While inflammation is seen on damaged cartilage surfaces, this inflammation is likely **not** the cause but a **result** of cartilage damage due to abnormal joint motion, due to abnormally long ligaments.

**Your Body’s Defense Against the Connective Tissue Damage Syndrome**

What is the body’s defense against the Connective Tissue Damage Syndrome? Collagen repair, which is handled by two distinct healing systems. In actuality, these represent ends of a continuum but they appear on observation to be two distinct systems. First you are in a continual tug-of-war between damage and healing. Every day you do molecular level damage to structures when you get out of bed. If you work hard, or work out, you will do more damage. Your body is supposed to repair this damage on an ongoing basis and make structures stronger as necessary. The repair system responsible for this daily, ongoing repair will be referred to as the “Wear and Tear Healing System,” or W/T HS.

The second line of defense is the Acute Injury Healing System (AIHS) which can be observed in operation following major surgery. This system is not “turned on” continuously. It must be triggered by specific factors, and collagen production lasts for about six weeks. It is capable
of huge feats of healing. The trigger for this system is a group of chemicals which reside in white blood cells and platelets, collectively called “growth factors.” If these growth factors are released in adequate amount from white blood cells and/or platelets, this six week healing sequence turns on and runs to completion. Prolotherapy is the art of prompting white blood cells and/or platelets to release growth factors and to trigger the AIHS, thereby making possible, huge feats of healing in damaged ligaments, tendons, and other connective tissue structures. It should be noted that Prolotherapy is the only medical specialty devoted to employing this healing system to treat connective tissue damage.

What controls the Wear and Tear Healing System, or W/T HS? A surveillance system is needed which is capable of identifying degrees of local “wear and tear” damage, then responding by triggering the repair process. The white blood cell is the logical mediator for this process since it contains the growth factors, and since its function is to scan the local environment to communicate with other cells in response to various changes in this environment. My theory is that the white blood cells are capable of “reading” the amount of cellular breakdown products in their vicinity. Then they measure out growth factors commensurate with the quantity of these breakdown products. The main event in both systems, from the standpoint of connective tissue healing, is the summoning and activating of fibroblasts, cells which make new collagen.

Most joint pain resolves because the W/T HS takes non-load bearing, painful connective tissue and heals the damage. But this system only has so much healing potential. What happens if a structure accumulates more damage than this system can repair? You develop recurring, or chronic symptoms, which may worsen over time as you continue to use a weakened, vulnerable structure.

The Connective Tissue Damage Syndrome model—pain and other symptoms due to weakened connective tissue, resolution of symptoms due to repair of connective tissue, repair of connective tissue accomplished by the body’s own healing system—easily explains a number of phenomena that baffle patients and practitioners.

What factors might lead to lack of connective tissue healing? As mentioned, connective tissue healing is a white blood cell function. Though a full discussion of these next two factors is beyond the scope of this publication, they are testosterone and human growth hormone. In order to have proper connective tissue healing, all three factors must be in place. In my experience, lack of testosterone drive for connective tissue healing is rare, but possible, in males. It is quite common in females, and may not be at all coincident with menopause. A deficit in connective tissue healing due to lack of Human Growth Hormone is occasionally seen with a severe sleep disorder.

What would impair output of growth factors by white blood cells? Curiously, the very treatments most commonly employed for connective tissue injury will accomplish this—ice and NSAIDs. Corticosteroids will accomplish this more powerfully and the effect lasts far longer. Also, anything that diverts significant “immune attention,” such as a severe, unrecognized food allergy, or a chronic infection, can impair connective tissue healing.

Therefore, if someone has a factor which impairs connective tissue healing (e.g. lack of testosterone, chronic NSAID use or corticosteroid use) this disease model predicts that such a person could easily develop recurring or chronic symptoms in spite of (or because of) the treatments commonly offered for these kinds of symptoms. If you want to stop these symptoms, you must activate and optimize the person’s connective tissue healing system(s).

**DIAGNOSING AND TREATING THE CONNECTIVE TISSUE DAMAGE SYNDROME**

How do patients present with the CTDS, and how will you know it when you see it? It is relatively easy; given the above discussion, to understand why a person might undergo shoulder arthroscopy for a bone spur, yet still have pain a year later. The person probably had CTDS in shoulder tendons and ligaments. To find the structures with CTDS, you simply note every tender ligament and tendon in the shoulder on physical examination. Treating the tender structures with Prolotherapy has a very high likelihood of curing this person’s shoulder pain.

More interesting are patients who present with back pain, numbness and tingling going down the outer thigh and calf, back spasms, and MRI findings of disc bulging, degenerative disc disease, and arthritis of the spine. These patients have usually been told that their referred symptoms are due to “sciatica” (or pressure on the sciatic
nerve), and that their back pain is arising from their discs or from pinched nerves. In the vast majority of these patients, all their symptoms are due to CTDS. Treating the tender ligaments in the spine and sacroiliac complex will totally cure symptoms in the vast majority of cases.

Even more interesting are people, usually women, who present with “multi-site connective tissue pain without trauma.” That is they have pain in several sites without an injury to account for the connective tissue damage. These people have often been told that they have “Fibromyalgia.” What they have is a W/T HS that is not working correctly, so that they accumulate damage in ligaments, tendons, and other structures, and develop pain in these various structures. Treatment of these people requires more than triggering healing in damaged structures. In addition, the factors that are keeping their connective tissue healing system from working must be identified and, if possible, rectified. This may be as simple as stopping regular use of NSAIDs, or it may require hormone testing and Bio-Identical Hormone Replacement, or it may involve more extensive testing and treatment. In most cases though, these factors can be rectified and healing can be accomplished.

Evaluating patients for the Connective Tissue Damage Syndrome requires the following steps. First, a practitioner must realize that this disease exists and understand its possible symptoms and findings. Secondly, one must compare the patient’s complaints with those that could be produced by the CTDS. If there is the possibility of CTDS, the structures which might be potentially damaged are determined. A thorough history is obtained—the mechanism of injury, if any, the location of the pain and other symptoms, and the location of any malfunctioning muscles. Thirdly, using knowledge of anatomy and symptom referral patterns, the likely candidate structures are examined in turn. Tender structures are mapped for treatment planning and for future reference to assess treatment results. Fourth, the competence of the healing system is assessed. Factors that may impact the healing system are noted—medications, injury-treatment strategies, possible medical or hormonal problems, etc.—and appropriate testing and treatment are offered. If connective tissue damage is due to undue stress on structures (e.g. bad weight lifting technique, poor posture at the computer), or from abnormal structure (e.g. scoliosis), these factors are identified and patients are counseled regarding strategies to minimize future connective tissue damage. Prolotherapy is the treatment of choice for CTDS.

Following this simple pattern, patients with CTDS can be treated with great success. This includes patients with severely injured structures, long-term symptoms, one or more “failed” operations, and broad areas of CTDS due to failure of the healing system.

Since the medical community as a whole does not recognize or understand this disease process, a very fair question is “Why not?” There are several reasons. Among them,

1. It takes much more time to take a good history and to do a good physical exam than it does to make a “diagnosis” by looking at an X-ray. I spend about an hour with each new patient. Although I often look at
imaging studies, I have not changed a single treatment plan because of something seen on an imaging study.

2. Physicians misinterpret tenderness on physical examination as inflammation.

3. The various theories about causes of pains (that are actually due to CTDS) are simply that—untested theories. These theories were not embraced based on evidence, but unfortunately they took firm hold in medical thinking. In contrast, the medical literature as a whole presents a very strong case that all of these theories of the sources of joint, neck, and back pain are deficient. Yet doctors, being human, know only what they have been taught and they will try to fit your complaint into one of the diagnostic categories that they “know about.” “If your only tool is a hammer, all the world is a nail.” Put more gently, doctors will not look for something that they are not aware exists, and they will not recognize it when they see it. Fundamentally, this is a paradigm, or mind set, issue. The Dean of Students at my medical school (University of Alabama) said it best. “During your medical school education, you must keep one thing in mind. Forty percent of what you are going to learn is wrong. At this point, we do not know which forty percent. You must never stop learning.” I suppose I have taken his advice by changing careers from Surgeon to Prolotherapist.

In summary, if one wants to assess the presence or absence of the Connective Tissue Damage Syndrome, the most important principle is to understand the symptoms and findings of this disease.

1. Pain
2. Tenderness over the affected structure
3. The possibility of referred pain, numbness, tingling, aching, burning
4. The possibility of muscle malfunction—weakness or spasm
5. Cartilage loss
6. Osteogenesis (bone spurs, arthritic lipping, osteophytes, etc.)
7. Secondary structure damage such as meniscus, labrum, intervertebral disc
8. Palpable joint instability

The second principle is to understand the significance of tenderness during palpation of ligaments, tendons, and other connective tissue structures. This means that connective tissue structural damage is present. It must again be emphasized that this finding does not represent inflammation. I treat every tender connective tissue structure in any area where treatment is requested.

The third principle is to understand that the cure for this disorder resides in the patient’s own healing system. Impediments to connective tissue healing must be removed (e.g. NSAIDs, corticosteroids, ice, etc.) and healing system function may need to be maximized using Prolotherapy. Occasionally medical issues which impair the connective tissue healing system may need to be rectified (e.g. testosterone deficiency).

If these simple principles are kept in mind, the millions of people in this country who are suffering activity altering pain due to the CTDS can be accurately diagnosed and effectively treated in an extremely cost-effective way. Imaging studies are largely unnecessary for diagnosis. Many operative procedures can be replaced by a more effective, and more safe, non-operative treatment, Prolotherapy. And many medications, particularly anti-inflammatory medications which often worsen the very condition they purport to treat, can be replaced by therapeutic strategies which offer cure of the actual disease process.